

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/676,529	10/02/2000	Neelakantan Sundaresan	AM9-99-0149	5198	
21254	7590 11/23/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			GART, MA	GART, MATTHEW S	
SUITE 200	JUKTHOUSE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			3625		

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· -			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4		
Advisory Action	09/676,529	SUNDARESAN, NEELAKANTAN			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Matthew s Gart	3625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 10 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper relich places the application	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad- event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sign and the corresponding amount of the distallatory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	/ _			
10. Other:		Axa L			

Jeffrey & Smith Primary Examiner Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/676,529

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to the indepentent claim would require further consideration. The amendment does not place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. The amendment attempts to further limit the returning function of the smartStore.